SEQ Civil Consulting Pty Ltd

ABN: 73343216549

E: admin@seqcivilconsulting.com.au

M: 0429 550 444

ROCKHAMPTON REGIONAL COUNCIL

APPROVED PLANS

These plans are approved subject to the current conditions of approval associated with

Development Permit No.: D/118-2023

Dated: 9 August 2024





Site Address:	Lot 2 Johnson Road, Gracemere QLD
Prepared Date:	05/02/2024
Revision:	0

DOCUMENT CONTROL

PROJECT INFORMATION			
Project Address	Lot 2 Johnson Road, Gracemere QLD		
Lot Description	Lot 2 on RP617380		
Project Number	2023006		
Client	Turtleway Early Learning Pty Ltd		
Council	Rockhampton Regional Council (RRC)		
RPEQ	Charles Cook		
Signature			
RPEQ No.	8480		

DOCUMENT REVIEW

Revision	Date	Author	Reviewer
0	05/02/2024	Ammar Naqvi	Charles Cook

DOCUMENT DISTRIBUTION

Revision	Date	Distributed For	Distributed To
0	05/02/2024	Approval	Council

This document is Copyright of SEQ Civil Consulting Pty Ltd. This report may only be used by the person to whom it is provided for the purpose for which it is provided. This report may not be used, reproduced, copied, transferred, or distributed to any third party without written permission from SEQ Civil Consulting Pty Ltd.

Contents

1	INTRODU	JCTION	1
	1.1 SCO	PE	1
	1.2 STO	RMWATER MANAGEMENT OBJECTIVES	1
2	EXISTING	SITE CHARACTERISTICS	2
	2.1 SITE	OVERVIEW	2
	2.2 EXIS	TING TOPOGRAPHY AND SITE DRAINAGE	3
	2.3 EXT	ERNAL UPSTREAM CATCHMENT ASSESSMENT	3
	2.4 FLO	UDING ASSESSMENT	3
2			4
э л	STOPMAN	ATED OLIANTITY ASSESSEMENT	4
4	STORIVIV		0
	4.1 HYD	ROLOGIC OBJECTIVES	6
	4.2 DFS	IGN RAINFALL	6
	4.4 PRE	-DEVELOPMENT AND POST-DEVELOPMENT HYDROLOGY	8
	4.4.1	PRE-DEVELOPMENT DRAINS MODEL PARAMETERS	8
	4.4.2	POST-DEVELOPMENT DRAINS MODEL PARAMETERS	8
	4.4.3	PRE AND POST-DEVELOPMENT MODEL RESULTS	9
	4.5 ON-	SITE DETENTION	10
	4.6 PUS	I DEVELOPMENT MITIGATED DISCHARGE RESULTS	12
5	STOPMW		10
5			17
	5.1 DEV	ELOPMENT TRIGGERS FOR STORMWATER QUALITY MANAGEMENT	1/
	5.3 RAI	NAMER GOALTH OBJECTIVES	17
	5.4 MU	SIC MODELLING	18
	5.5 MU	SIC SOURCE NODE PARAMETERS	19
	5.6 RAII	NFALL AND POLLUTANT EXPORT PARAMETERS	20
	5.7 MU	SIC MODEL RESULTS	21
6	EROSION	I AND SEDIMENT MANAGEMENT	22
	6.1 ERO	SION AND SEDIMENT MANAGEMENT	22
	6.1.1	PRE-DEVELOPMENT	22
	6.1.2	BULK EARTHWORKS	22
	6.1.3	CONSTRUCTION	22
7	MONITO	RING AND MAINTENANCE	23
	7.1 GEN		23
	7.2 RES	PONSIBILITY AND REPORTING	23
8	CONCLU	SIONS	24
9	APPEND	CES	25
AF	PENDIX A	SITE SURVEY PLAN	26
AF	PPENDIX B	RCC AS-CONSTRUCTED AND PROPERTY FLOOD REPORT	27
AF	PPENDIX C	DEVELOPMENT SITE PLANS	28
AF	PPENDIX D	STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLANS	29

1 INTRODUCTION

SEQ Civil Consulting Pty Ltd (SEQCC) has been engaged to prepare a Site-based Stormwater Management Plan (SBSMP) for the proposed development at Lot 2 Johnson Road, Gracemere QLD. The land parcel is legally described as Lot 2 on RP617380 (the subject site) and is located within Rockhampton Regional Council (RRC).

This assessment has been prepared generally in accordance with Rockhampton Region Planning Scheme, Queensland Urban Drainage Manual (QUDM, 2016), Australian Rainfall and Runoff (ARR) and Healthy Waterways – Water by Design.

1.1 SCOPE

Specifically, this report details the following:

- Describes the environmental setting of the development site;
- Describes the nature of the proposed development as it relates to stormwater quality;
- Calculates the peak flows for both pre- and post-development conditions;
- Calculates the detention volume required to achieve the pre-development state, if required;
- Establishment of a Lawful Point of Discharge (LPD) for the subject site;
- Stormwater Quality Including an estimate of sediment and nutrient transport from the subject site and assessment against pollutant reduction targets for runoff exiting the site; and
- Stormwater Management Controls for the construction and operational phases.

1.2 STORMWATER MANAGEMENT OBJECTIVES

The objectives of this SBSMP are to:

- 1. Minimise the number of pollutants such as sediment, litter and nutrients entering surrounding waterways and stormwater drainage infrastructure.
- 2. Achieve no increase in pre-development peak discharge from the subject site up to and including the 1% AEP (100year ARI) storm event.
- 3. Ensure stormwater is managed to minimise the impact of flooding; and
- 4. Minimise environmental nuisance or harm from land-disturbing activities.

These objectives will be achieved through the implementation of:

- 1. Management strategies designed to minimise water pollution from development of the subject site;
- 2. Management strategies to maintain pre-development peak discharges at the existing lawful and practical point of discharge;
- 3. Specific construction phase controls to minimise erosion and control sediment loss; and
- 4. Specific operational phase controls to minimise sediment and nutrient export from the subject site.

2 EXISTING SITE CHARACTERISTICS

2.1 SITE OVERVIEW

The subject site is located within Rockhampton Regional Council (RRC) and covers a total area of 7209m² (or 0.721ha). The existing site is a vacant block of land currently grassed pervious areas with small to medium sized trees scattered throughout the site. The impervious area of the existing site is 0m², which amounts to 0.0% of the total site area.

Refer to the Figure 1 below for the aerial locality. Refer to Appendix A for the Site Survey Plan.



Figure 1 Site Location

2.2 EXISTING TOPOGRAPHY AND SITE DRAINAGE

The following topography details are in relation to the subject site located within Lot 2 Johnson Road, Gracemere QLD;

- The natural fall of the land generally slopes from the northern (side) and eastern (rear) boundaries of the site to the western (front) boundary of the subject site.
- The site levels range from RL29.0m AHD at the north-eastern corner of the site to RL26.0m AHD at the south-western corner of the site.
- The site has an average grade of 3.7%.
- An easement has been identified aligned with the northern (side) boundary of the site.
- Data obtained from detail survey and as-constructed information identifies the following existing stormwater infrastructure:
 - An open channel drain and associated inlet pit (Asset ID: 10318) located at the south-western corner of the subject site.
 - One (1) 1200x450 RCBC in the neighbouring property, being *Lot 1 on LN2621*, aligned with the southern boundary of the subject site.
 - One (1) 900mm RCP crossing under the Johnson roadway adjacent to the south-western corner of the subject site.

Refer to **Appendix A** for the site survey plan and **Appendix B** for the as-constructed information obtained from RRC Interactive Mapping system.

2.3 EXTERNAL UPSTREAM CATCHMENT ASSESSMENT

A review of the surrounding area has been undertaken to determine the extent of any external upstream catchments, which may flow towards the subject site. Based on contour maps obtained from the RRC Interactive Mapping system there appears to be no external upstream catchment which may contribute to overland flow through the site.

2.4 FLOODING ASSESSMENT

Based on an assessment of RRC Interactive Mapping, the subject site has been identified to be within a flood hazard area, however the flood hazard overlay code is not triggered for the subject site.

Refer to Appendix B for the Flood Map obtained from RRC Interactive Mapping system.

2.5 LAWFUL AND PRACTICAL POINT OF DISCHARGE

In accordance with the Queensland Urban Drainage Manual (QUDM), when proposing a development, it must demonstrate that a lawful point of discharge (LPD) exists.

A lawful point of discharge exists at a particular location when the following two (2) tests can be demonstrated as per QUDM:

- (i) The location of the discharge is under the lawful control of the local government or other statutory authority from whom permission to discharge has been received. This can include a park, drainage or road reserve, stormwater drainage easement; and
- (ii) In discharging to that location, the discharge will not cause an actionable nuisance (i.e. a nuisance for which the current or some future neighbouring proprietor may bring an action or claim for damages arising out of the nuisance), or environmental or property damage.

Surface runoff from the subject site currently sheet flows from the ground areas to the frontage of the site and to the open channel surface drain adjacent to the subject site's western boundary. The open channel surface drain discharges to an inlet pit (Asset ID: 10318) located adjacent to the south-western corner of the subject site.

Therefore, in order to maintain the pre-development flow conditions, the open channel surface drain and associated inlet pit (Asset ID: 10318) located adjacent to the south-western corner of the subject site will be considered the lawful point of discharge (LPD) for the site.

3 PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

The proposed development comprises of a Material Change of Use (MCU) Development Application submission to Council, based on the following:

- A multiple dwelling residential development comprising of twenty (20) townhouse units.
- Dedicated hardstand driveway area provided for vehicle manoeuvring with eleven (11) dedicated car parking spaces provided within the development site.
- Access/egress to the site will be via a vehicle crossover connecting to Johnson Road.
- Dedicated landscaping areas throughout the site.
- The total area of the subject site is 7209m2 (or 0.721ha).

Refer Appendix C for the proposed Development Site Plans.



Figure 2 Development Site Layout

4 STORMWATER QUANTITY ASSESSEMENT

4.1 HYDROLOGIC OBJECTIVES

Hydrologic objectives for the subject site have been set in accordance with the Rockhampton Region Planning Scheme and the Queensland Urban Drainage Manual (QUDM), Fourth Edition 2016, including but not limited to:

- No increase in pre-development flows, up to and including the 100-year ARI (or 1% AEP);
- No adverse impact on adjoining or downstream properties;
- The proposed development shall ensure that all stormwater is directed to an LPD;
- A Major Design Storm Event of 100-year ARI (or 1% AEP); and
- A Minor Design Storm Event of 2-year ARI (or 39.35% AEP).

4.2 HYDROLOGICAL PARAMETERS

Catchment hydrology has been assessed for the pre and post-development scenarios and has been calculated using a DRAINS hydrological computer model (ILSAX Method). Calibration of the DRAINS hydrological computer model was achieved by comparing the DRAINS flow rates to the Rational Method calculations in accordance with QUDM (Fourth Edition, 2016), Section 4 and Section 5, and AS3500 – Plumbing and Drainage.

The default hydrological model used for this Assessment was the ILSAX Model. The following parameters were established in setting up the model:

- Paved (impervious) area depression storage (mm): 1
- Supplementary area depression storage (mm): 1
- Grassed (pervious) area depression storage (mm): 5
- Soil Type: Normal with antecedent rainfall depth for AMC 3 mm
- Rainfall Zone: Zone 3 N.E. Coast
- AR&R 2016

4.3 DESIGN RAINFALL

The design rainfall Intensity Frequency Duration (IFD) data for all storm events up to an including 100-year ARI (or 1% AEP) has been obtained for the subject site from the Bureau of Meteorology for nominated ARI's and used in the DRAINS computer model. The design IFD data for the subject site can been seen in Figure 3 below. Rainfall temporal patterns used in the DRAINS hydrological computer model (ILSAX Method) analysis were prepared in accordance with Australian Rainfall and Runoff (AR&R 2016). Rainfall is modelled for the catchment in equal time intervals under each storm event and the subsequent runoff routed through a drainage system. To establish the most likely rain event that would require the greatest volume of detention, design storm durations of 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 45, 60, 90, 120, 180 and 360 minutes were modelled.

Label: Not provided

Latitude: -23.452 [Nearest grid cell: 23.4625 (S)] Longitude: 150.4518 [Nearest grid cell: 150.4625 (E)]



IFD Design Rainfall Intensity (mm/h)

Issued: 20 January 2024

Unit: mm/h 🗸

Rainfall intensity for Durations, Exceedance per Year (EY), and Annual Exceedance Probabilities (AEP). FAQ for New ARR probability terminology

Table	Chart
	WITTERS IN

72 hour

96 hour

120 hour

144 hour

168 hour

		Annu	al Exceed	ance Prob	ability (A	EP)	
Duration	63.2%	50%#	20%*	10%	5%	2%	1%
1 min	157	174	230	269	308	361	402
2 min	131	146	193	226	258	299	332
3 <u>min</u>	124	137	182	213	243	283	314
4 <u>min</u>	118	132	174	204	233	272	302
5 min	114	127	167	196	224	261	291
10 <u>min</u>	95.0	106	139	163	186	218	244
15 <u>min</u>	81.2	90.1	119	139	159	187	209
20 <u>min</u>	70.9	78.7	104	121	139	164	183
25 <u>min</u>	63.1	70.1	92.4	108	124	146	163
30 <u>min</u>	56.9	63.2	83.5	97.8	112	132	147
45 <u>min</u>	44.3	49.3	65.3	76.6	88.0	104	116
1 hour	36.6	40.7	54.2	63.7	73.2	86.2	96.6
1.5 hour	27.5	30.7	41.1	48.5	55.9	66.1	74.2
2 hour	22.3	25.0	33.7	39.9	46.1	54.7	61.6
3 hour	16.6	18.6	25.4	30,2	35.1	42.0	47.5
4.5 hour	12.3	13.9	19.2	23.1	27.0	32.6	37.2
6 hour	9.94	11.3	15.8	19.2	22.6	27.5	31.5
9 hour	7.42	8.51	12.2	14.9	17.8	21.9	25.3
12 hour	6.05	6.98	10.1	12.5	15.2	18.8	21.9
18 hour	4.55	5.29	7.89	9.92	12.2	15.3	18.0
24 hour	3.73	4.35	6.61	8.42	10.4	13.3	15.7
30 hour	3.19	3.74	5.75	7.41	9.27	11.9	14.1
36 hour	2.80	3.30	5.13	6.66	8.39	10.8	12.9
48 hour	2.28	2.69	4.25	5.59	7.12	9.23	11.0

Figure 3 IFD Data for Gracemere

3.21

2.57

2.14

1.82

1.57

2.00

1.60

1.33

1.14

0.994

1.69

1.35

1,12

0.961

0.839

5.51

4.47

3.72

3.15

2.70

7.20

5.86

4.89

4.14

3.55

8.66

7.08

5.91

5.01

4.30

4.26

3.44

2.85

2.41

2.07

4.4 PRE-DEVELOPMENT AND POST-DEVELOPMENT HYDROLOGY

The following parameters have been adopted for the existing pre-development and post-development site conditions assessed in accordance with QUDM Fourth Edition, Section 4:

Pre-Development Parameters:

- Catchment Area (CAT C1) = 0.721 ha
- Time of Concentration, tc = **10.8 minutes**
- Fraction Impervious, fi = **0.0** (0.0% Impervious)
- Runoff Co-efficient, C₁₀ value = **0.70**

Post-Development Parameters:

- Catchment Area (CAT C2 Treated Area) = 0.563 ha
- Time of Concentration, tc = 7.3 minutes
- Fraction Impervious, fi = **0.70** (70% Impervious)
- Runoff Co-efficient, C₁₀ value = **0.81**
- Catchment Area (CAT C3 Bypass Area) = 0.158 ha
- Time of Concentration, tc = 5.0 minutes
- Fraction Impervious, fi = 0.0 (0% Impervious)
- Runoff Co-efficient, C₁₀ value = **0.70**

The post development sub-catchments have been delineated to represent the contributing area that is to be conveyed via the internal drainage network (CAT C2) and the remaining ground areas where surface runoff from the landscaping areas is anticipated to bypass the internal drainage network (CAT C3) and sheet flow to the LPD. Refer to **Appendix D** for sub-catchment delineation.

4.4.1 PRE-DEVELOPMENT DRAINS MODEL PARAMETERS

Table 1 below shows the parameters used in the DRAINS Model for the pre-development catchment scenario.

Table 1 – Pre-Development Drains Model Parameters – CAT C1

Pre-Development Catchment (CAT C1)	Paved	Supplementary	Grassed
Percentage of Area (%)	0	0	100
Time of Concentration (minutes)	0	0	10.8

4.4.2 POST-DEVELOPMENT DRAINS MODEL PARAMETERS

Tables 2-3 below shows the parameters used in the DRAINS Model for the post-development catchment scenario.

Table 2 – Post-Development Drains Model Parameters – CAT C2

Post-Development Catchment (CAT C2)	Paved	Supplementary	Grassed
Percentage of Area (%)	70	0	30
Time of Concentration (minutes)	7.3	0	7.3

Table 3 – Post-Development Drains Model Parameters – CAT C3

Post-Development Catchment (CAT C3)	Paved	Supplementary	Grassed
Percentage of Area (%)	0	0	100
Time of Concentration (minutes)	0	0	5.0

4.4.3 PRE AND POST-DEVELOPMENT MODEL RESULTS

Summaries of the hydrological calculations for the pre- and post-development (unmitigated) scenarios are demonstrated in Tables 4-7 below. The results show the median temporal pattern stormwater of the critical duration for each design storm event.

PRE-DEVELOPMENT PEAK DISCHARGE (Q) – CAT C1					
Storm Event (year)	Су	ly (mm/hr)	Peak Qy (Rational) (m³/s)	Peak Qy (ILSAX) (m ³ /s)	
Q2 (39.35% AEP)	0.595	114	0.136	0.145	
Q5 (18.13% AEP)	0.665	138	0.184	0.190	
Q10 (10% AEP)	0.700	158	0.222	0.227	
Q20 (5% AEP)	0.735	181	0.267	0.273	
Q50 (2% AEP)	0.805	213	0.343	0.331	
Q100 (1% AEP)	0.840	237	0.399	0.380	

Table 4 – Pre-Development Peak Flow – CAT C1

Table 5 – Post-Development Peak Flow – CAT C2

POST-DEVELOPMENT PEAK DISCHARGE (Q) –CAT C2					
Storm Event (year)	Су	ly (mm/hr)	Peak Qy (Rational) (m³/s)	Peak Qy (ILSAX) (m ³ /s)	
Q2 (39.35% AEP)	0.689	129	0.139	0.162	
Q5 (18.13% AEP)	0.770	156	0.188	0.204	
Q10 (10% AEP)	0.810	179	0.227	0.242	
Q20 (5% AEP)	0.851	205	0.273	0.280	
Q50 (2% AEP)	0.932	240	0.350	0.332	
Q100 (1% AEP)	0.972	268	0.407	0.372	

Table 6 – Post-Development Peak Flow – CAT C3

POST-DEVELOPMENT PEAK DISCHARGE (Q) –CAT C3							
Storm Event (year)	Су	ly Peak Qy (Ration (mm/hr) (m ³ /s)		Peak Qy (ILSAX) (m ³ /s)			
Q2 (39.35% AEP)	0.595	141	0.037	0.038			
Q5 (18.13% AEP)	0.665	171	0.050	0.051			
Q10 (10% AEP)	0.700	196	0.060	0.063			
Q20 (5% AEP)	0.735	224	0.072	0.075			
Q50 (2% AEP)	0.805	261	0.092	0.089			
Q100 (1% AEP)	0.840	291	0.107	0.102			

PRE-VS-POST-DEVELOPMENT (UNMITIGATED) PEAK DISCHARGE (Q)							
Storm Event (year)	Pre-Development Peak Qy (CAT C1) (ILSAX) (m³/s)	Post-Development Unmitigated Peak Qy (CAT C2 + CAT C3) (ILSAX) (m ³ /s)	Difference (m³/s)	Increase / Decrease (%)			
Q2 (39.35% AEP)	0.145	0.200	0.055	+38%			
Q5 (18.13% AEP)	0.190	0.255	0.065	+34%			
Q10 (10% AEP)	0.227	0.305	0.078	+34%			
Q20 (5% AEP)	0.273	0.355	0.082	+30%			
Q50 (2% AEP)	0.331	0.421	0.090	+27%			
Q100 (1% AEP)	0.380	0.474	0.094	+25%			

Table 7 – Pre-vs-Post-Development (Unmitigated) Peak Flow Comparison

A comparison of the pre- and post-development peak flow rates for unmitigated stormwater discharge using DRAINS Model (ILSAX Method) indicates there will be approximately $0.055m^3/s$ (55 L/s) to $0.094m^3/s$ (94 L/s) increase in peak discharge from the subject site for all design storms (Q_2 to Q_{100}) as a result of the proposed development.

Therefore, On-site Stormwater Detention (OSD) is required for the proposed development in order to ensure there is no increase in pre-development peak flow rates from the subject site at the LPD.

4.5 ON-SITE DETENTION

To satisfy the objective of no increase in pre-development peak flows, a DRAINS software computer model has been developed to determine the required On-site Stormwater Detention (OSD) device characteristics (volume and outlet arrangement).

The peak discharge from the proposed development for all design storms up to and including the 1% AEP will be mitigated to equal to or less than pre-development flow via the implementation of a combined bioretention / detention basin. Refer to Table 8 below for basin summary.

ON-SITE STORMWATER DETENTION (OSD) DEVICE DETAILS				
OSD Device	Combined Bioretention / Detention Basin			
Basin Width (m)	5.50			
Basin Length (m)	9.50			
Total Basin Depth (m)	1.27			
Detention Basin Base Area (m ²)	51			
Volume Available for Detention (m ³)	171			
Basin Base Level (m AHD)	RL: 25.88			
Outlet Pit Surface Level (m AHD)	RL: 26.18			
Top of Basin Level (m AHD)	RL: 27.15			
Low Flow Outlet Pipe Invert Level (m AHD)	IL: 25.08			

Table 8 – On-Site Stormwater Detention Device Details

It is proposed to discharge stormwater runoff from CAT C2 (roof, road and ground) from the proposed development to the combined bioretention / detention basin. Stormwater from the combined bioretention / detention basin will then discharge at a mitigated rate to the Lawful Point of Discharge (LPD). The combined bioretention / detention basin has been designed over-mitigate runoff from CAT C2 to allow the bypassing ground areas of CAT C3 to discharge unmitigated as sheet flow to the LPD.

To ensure peak flows from the proposed development are discharged at pre-development conditions or less from the combined bioretention / detention basin, it is proposed to utilise a Ø260mm orifice plate over a Ø375mm RCP as the low-flow outlet and a weir for the high-flow outlet. The weir is to be 3.0m wide and 430mm deep.

Refer to **Appendix D** for the Stormwater Management Plans which shows the location and details of the proposed combined bioretention / detention basin.

Table 9 below provides a summary of the DRAINS model results for the post development mitigated site scenario (with the implementation of the combined bioretention / detention basin within the DRAINS model). The results show the outflow from the detention basin (Basin Outflow), the water depth within the basin (Basin Stage) and the volume reached within the detention basin (Basin Storage Volume) to ensure that pre-development flow conditions for all storms are achieved.

POST-DEVELOPMENT (MITIGATED) DETENTION BASIN SUMMARY								
Storm Event (year)	Low-Flow Pipe Outflow (m ³ /s)	High-Flow Weir Outflow (m³/s)	Total Basin Outflow (m³/s)	Basin Stage – Depth Above Outlet Pit (m)	Basin Storage Volume (m ³)			
Q2 (39.35% AEP)	0.105	0.000	0.105	0.240	26.89			
Q5 (18.13% AEP)	0.118	0.000	0.118	0.370	46.02			
Q10 (10% AEP)	0.127	0.000	0.127	0.480	63.84			
Q20 (5% AEP)	0.135	0.026	0.161	0.580	82.80			
Q50 (2% AEP)	0.139	0.088	0.227	0.640	93.46			
Q100 (1% AEP)	0.141	0.134	0.275	0.670	99.91			

Table 9 – DRAINS Post-Development (Mitigated) Detention Basin Summary

4.6 POST DEVELOPMENT MITIGATED DISCHARGE RESULTS

Table 10 provides a comparison of the pre-development peak flow rates from the subject site to the postdevelopment mitigated peak discharge rates from the subject site at the LPD with the implementation of the combined bioretention / detention basin within the DRAINS model.

PRE VS POST DEVELOPMENT MITIGATED PEAK DISCHARGE (Q)							
Storm Event (year)	Pre-Dev (CAT C1) Qy (m³/s)	Post-Dev Mitigated (CAT C2) Qy (m ³ /s)	Post-Dev Unmitigated (CAT C3) Qy (m ³ /s)	Difference (m³/s)	Reduction Y/N		
Q2 (39.35% AEP)	0.145	0.105	0.038	-0.002	Y		
Q5 (18.13% AEP)	0.190	0.118	0.051	-0.021	Y		
Q10 (10% AEP)	0.227	0.127	0.063	-0.037	Y		
Q20 (5% AEP)	0.273	0.161	0.075	-0.037	Y		
Q50 (2% AEP)	0.331	0.227	0.089	-0.015	Y		
Q100 (1% AEP)	0.380	0.275	0.102	-0.003	Y		

Table 10 – Comparison of Pre- vs. Post-Development Mitigated Discharge

Therefore, it is demonstrated that the proposed combined bioretention / detention basin will ensure that predevelopment peak flow rates (or less) will be achieved at the LPD for the subject site in the post-development state.

Refer to Figure 4 below for a screen shot of the DRAINS model for the pre-development site scenario.

Refer to Figure 5 below for a screen shot of the DRAINS model for the post-development (unmitigated) site scenario.

Refer to Figure 6 below for a screen shot of the DRAINS model for the post-development (mitigated) site scenario.

Refer to **Appendix D** for the Stormwater Management Plans and details.





Figure 4 – Pre-Development DRAINS Model













4.7 PROPOSED DRAINAGE WORKS

As shown on the stormwater management plans (**Appendix D**) all roofwater runoff from the proposed residential dwellings will be directed via downpipes to internal stormwater drainage network under the driveway pavement and surface runoff from the ground areas within the development will be captured via the field inlets provided in the driveway. The internal drainage network will discharge surface runoff from the development site to the combined bioretention / detention basin located at the southern extent of the subject site. Stormwater runoff from the combined bioretenion / detention basin will discharge at less than pre-development flow rates (via a Ø260mm low-flow orifice plate over the 375mm RCP outlet) to the LPD, being the open channel surface drain and associated inlet pit (Asset ID: 10318) located adjacent to the south-western corner of the subject site.

Overflow from the detention basin, which will occur during the Q_{20} to Q_{100} design storm event, will discharge at a mitigated rate (less than pre-development flow rates) via the 3.0m wide overflow weir to the lawful point of discharge (LPD) being the open channel surface drain and associated inlet pit (Asset ID: 10318) located adjacent to the south-western corner of the subject site.

Minor surface runoff from the landscaping ground areas (CAT C3) fronting the proposed development are unlikely to be captured and intended to sheet flow unmitigated to the LPD.

5 STORMWATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

5.1 DEVELOPMENT TRIGGERS FOR STORMWATER QUALITY MANAGEMENT

The proposed development has been assessed against the latest State Planning Policy 2017 (SPP 2017). As the proposed development is a Material Change of Use (MCU) for urban purposes that involves a land area greater than 2,500m² and will result in an impervious area greater than 25% of the next developable area, consequently the State Planning Policy (SPP) 2017 is triggered for stormwater quality.

As the subject site triggers State Planning Policy 2017 (SPP 2017) stormwater quality assessment benchmarks, compliance with the stormwater quality design objectives in accordance with Council's Stormwater Management Code is required.

5.2 STORMWATER QUALITY OBJECTIVES

The following pollutants have been identified as part of the Stormwater Management Design objectives (for the operational phase of the development) based on State Planning Policy 2017 (SPP 2017) Appendix 2, "*Table B: Post Construction phase – Stormwater Management Design Objectives*". The Stormwater Quality Objectives (WQO's) provide the criteria for the design of an effective treatment system, as per Table 11 below:

Pollutant	Stormwater Quality Objectives (Reduction in Mean Annual Load)		
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	85%		
Total Phosphorus (TP)	60%		
Total Nitrogen (TN)	45%		
Gross Pollutants (GP)	90%		

Table 11 – Stormwater Quality Objectives (WQO's)

The percent reductions listed above are the target reductions for comparing mitigated site annual pollutant loads with unmitigated site annual pollutant loads. The proposed treatment strategy selected for the development will ensure these objectives are met for all pollutants.

5.3 RAINFALL DATA

The rainfall parameters used to simulate climate behaviour in the MUSIC model are presented in Table 12 below:

Table 12 – Stormwater Quality Objectives (WQO's)

Input	Data Used in Modelling		
Rainfall Station	Rockhampton Aero (Station ID: 39083)		
MUSIC Modelling Period	01/01/2000 - 31/01/2010		
Modelling Time step	6 minutes		

5.4 MUSIC MODELLING

Model for Urban Stormwater Improvement Conceptualisation (MUSIC) was used to assess the stormwater quality outcomes of the proposed development. MUSIC is specifically designed to assist in the development of strategies for urban stormwater hydrology and pollutant impacts.

The installation of the following Stormwater Quality Improvement Device's (SQID's) will achieve the above stormwater quality objectives (shown in Section 5.2):

- Five (5x) 'Atlan Stormsacks' to be installed within each of the stormwater field inlets as part of the internal drainage system (one (1x) Atlan Stormsack per inlet).
- 51m² combined bioretention / detention basin which provides a minimum of 51m² of filtration media. The figure below shows the bioretention basin input data in the MUSIC Model.

Properties of 51m2 Bio-retention Basin - 51m2 Filter Me	edia			×
Location 51m2 Bio-retention Basin - 51m2 Filter Medi	a			😚 Products >>
☐Inlet Properties		Lining Properties		
Low Flow By-pass (cubic metres per sec)	0.000	Is Base Lined?		🔽 Yes 🔲 No
High Flow By-pass (cubic metres per sec)	100.000			
Storage Properties		C Venetated with Effectiv		
Extended Detention Depth (metres)	0.30	 vegetated with Effective 	e Nuthent Removal P	lants
Surface Area (square metres)	51.00	O Vegetated with Ineffect	tive Nutrient Removal	Plants
Filter and Media Properties		O Unvegetated		
Filter Area (square metres)	51.00			
Unlined Filter Media Perimeter (metres)	0.01	Outlet Properties		0.00
Saturated Hydraulic Conductivity (mm/hour)	200.00	Overflow Weir Width (me	tres)	3.00
Filter Depth (metres)	0.40	Underdrain Present?		🔽 Yes 🥅 No
TN Content of Filter Media (mg/kg)	400	Submerged Zone With Ca	arbon Present?	Tes 🔽 No
Orthophosphate Content of Filter Media (mg/kg)	30.0	Depth (metres)		0.00
Infiltration Properties				,
Exfiltration Rate (mm/hr)	0.00	Fluxes	Notes	More
		×	<u>C</u> ancel <> <u>B</u>	ack <u>Finish</u>

Figure 7 – Bioretention Basin Details

Refer to **Appendix D** for typical details of the combined bioretention / detention basin.

The following figure illustrates the treatment train used for this development and provides the general arrangement of the stormwater quality treatment measures to be used.



Figure 8 – MUSIC Model Treatment Train for the Development Site

5.5 MUSIC SOURCE NODE PARAMETERS

The developed sub-catchments were further separated into the effective contributing impervious areas of, Roof, Road and pervious/impervious Ground (garden, landscaped area, footpath pavement, patios etc) runoff (where applicable).

Effective Impervious Area (EIA) is the area that will directly contribute flow to the drainage network. These areas will be "effective" in generating runoff which will be delivered rapidly at the catchment outlet during a rainfall event.

Catchment ID	Catchment Land Use	Area (ha)	Total Impervious Percentage (%)
	Residential - Road	0.139	100
Treated Area (CAT C2)	Residential - Roof	0.202	100
	Residential - Ground	0.222	25
Bypass Area (CAT C3) Residential - Ground		0.158	0
Total Development Area		0.721	55

Table 13 – MUSIC Model Catchment Areas

Refer to **Appendix D** for the MUSIC model catchment area plan.

5.6 RAINFALL AND POLLUTANT EXPORT PARAMETERS

The MUSIC model rainfall-runoff parameters for a residential development have been adopted in accordance with "Table 3.7 – Recommended MUSIC Rainfall-Runoff Parameters" of the MUSIC Modelling Guidelines Version 1.0, as summarised in Table 14 below:

Parameter					
Land use	Urban Residential				
Rainfall threshold (mm)	1				
Soil storage capacity (mm)	500				
Initial storage (% capacity)	10				
Field capacity (mm)	200				
Infiltration capacity coefficient a	211				
Infiltration capacity exponent b	5.0				
Initial depth (mm)	50				
Daily recharge rate (%)	28				
Daily base flow rate (%)	27				
Daily deep seepage rate (%)	0				

Table 14 -	- MUSIC	Model	Runoff	Parameters
------------	---------	-------	--------	------------

The MUSIC model Base and Storm Flow Concentration parameters (Log₁₀ values) for a residential development have been adopted in accordance with "Table 3.8 – Pollutant Export Parameters for Split Catchment Land Use (Log10 Values)" of the MUSIC Modelling Guidelines Version 1.0, as summarised in Table 15 below:

		TSS		ТР		TN	
		Base Flow	Storm Flow	Base Flow	Storm Flow	Base Flow	Storm Flow
Deef	Mean (Log mg/L)	N/A	1.30	N/A	-0.89	N/A	0.26
ROOT	Std Dev (Log mg/L)	N/A	0.39	N/A	0.31	N/A	0.23
	Mean (Log mg/L)	1.00	2.43	-0.97	-0.30	0.20	0.26
коао	Std Dev (Log mg/L)	0.34	0.39	0.31	0.31	0.20	0.23
Ground	Mean (Log mg/L)	1.00	2.18	-0.97	-0.47	0.20	0.26
	Std Dev (Log mg/L)	0.34	0.39	0.31	0.31	0.20	0.23

Table 15 – MUSIC Pollutant Concentration Parameters (Residential)

5.7 MUSIC MODEL RESULTS

The post-development (mitigated) MUSIC model has been simulated using the meteorological data and other associated parameters provided above. The results are summarised in Table 16 below.

Parameter	WQO	Sources	Residual Load	Reduction % Achieved	WQO Compliance (Y/N)
Flow (ML/yr)	N/A	2.56	2.46	3.9	N/A
Total Suspended Solids (kg/yr)	85%	462	68.8	85.1	Y
Total Phosphorus (kg/yr)	60%	0.947	0.242	74.5	Y
Total Nitrogen (kg/yr)	45%	5.25	2.69	48.8	Y
Gross Pollutants (kg/yr)	90%	66.3	0	100	Y

Table 16 – MUSIC Pollutant Load Assessment Results

The above table demonstrates that the Stormwater Quality Improvement Device (SQID) implemented will achieve Council's stormwater quality objectives (WQO's).

Refer to Appendix D for typical details of the combined bioretention / detention basin.

6 EROSION AND SEDIMENT MANAGEMENT

6.1 EROSION AND SEDIMENT MANAGEMENT

6.1.1 PRE-DEVELOPMENT

Prior to construction commencing, the following sediment and erosion control measures will be implemented, where appropriate, to minimise disturbance and ensure water quality is maintained:

- Designation of transport routes to ensure minimal vegetation disturbance. Transport routes will have construction exits in accordance with Best Practice Erosion and Sediment Control (BPESC) document of International Erosion Control Association (IECA) Australia
- Maximise vegetated open space areas to reduce soil disturbance and proved filter strip treatment for runoff;
- Construction entry/exit to be installed and will comprise of a designed gravel pad or equivalent material in accordance with (BPESC) document of (IECA) Australia
- Install sediment fences around the proposed bulk earthworks site (along toe of batter alignment); and
- Regularly water spray adjacent to the proposed bulk earthworks site (along property boundary) for dust suppression

6.1.2 BULK EARTHWORKS

A number of erosion and sediment control measurements listed below should be implemented, where appropriate, when the construction involves bulk earthwork. The typical control measures are listed below:

- Construct chutes to control runoff over earthworks batters;
- Construction of temporary bund at the top of all earthworks batters to ensure runoff is directed to chutes and away from exposed batters;
- Drainage structure sediment barriers will be installed at all field inlets and gully inlets;
- Vehicle shake down area will be constructed at all vehicle entry/exist points to and from the site;
- Sediment fences will be installed at all downstream developable area boundaries and down slope areas of material stockpile bases; and
- All batters to be top-soiled and seeded immediately upon reaching finished earthworks levels

6.1.3 CONSTRUCTION

The following measures will be undertaken, where appropriate, to mitigate water quality impacts during construction phase:

- Sediment fences to be erected at the downstream site boundaries and at the base of all batters and stockpiles to prevent sediment transportation off site;
- Grass filter strips to be placed along all road verges;
- Re-vegetation of all disturbed areas within two weeks of completion; and
- All sediment control structures to be maintained in an effective manner and inspected after each storm event.
 No structure is to accumulate sediment above 40% of its capacity.

7 MONITORING AND MAINTENANCE

7.1 GENERAL

The following monitoring and maintenance procedures (where applicable) are to be undertaken by the site supervisor during all phases of the development:

- If any validated complaints or evidence of water quality deterioration is reported downstream of the site, the following actions are to be taken:
 - Locate source of water quality deterioration
 - Construct temporary controls to prevent continuing short-term deterioration.
 - Repair existing controls, modify procedures or construct additional controls to prevent further deterioration.

The general requirement of monitoring during the construction phase (where applicable) will be:

- Work activities are restricted to designated construction areas;
- Earthworks and site clearing are undertaken in accordance with the Erosion and Sediment Control Plans;
- Erosion and sediment control devices are to be constructed/installed in accordance with the approved Erosion and Sediment Control Plans;
- Inspection of sediment fences and erosion and sediment control structures/devices on a weekly basis as well as after any rain event exceeding 25mm in 24hrs;
- Stormwater discharges from the site do not have any diverse effect on the downstream environment;
- Monitoring and recording of the performance of the drainage control devices including water quality testing where required;
- Any failure in the stormwater system shall be immediately rectified to prevent uncontrolled discharge from the disturbed area of the site; and
- Any failure to the stormwater system causing damage to surroundings should implement immediate remedial work to the damaged areas.

7.2 RESPONSIBILTY AND REPORTING

- The contractor shall be responsible for monitoring the performance of all drainage control and erosion and sediment control devices;
- Records of any failures to devices should be kept and reported to the Construction Manager;
- Regular inspections of the devices shall be reported to the Construction Manager; and
- Inspections of the devices after heavy rainfall shall be reported to the Construction Manager.

8 CONCLUSIONS

This report has assessed the hydrology and hydraulics of the proposed development at Lot 2 Johnson Road, Gracemere QLD for the pre-development and post-development scenarios.

Based on this stormwater management strategy the following conclusions have been drawn:

- The open channel surface drain and associated inlet pit (Asset ID: 10318) located adjacent to the southwestern corner of the subject site will be considered the lawful point of discharge (LPD) for the site.
- Peak stormwater discharge from the proposed development for all design storms up to and including the Q100 ARI (1% AEP) critical storm will be mitigated to equal to or less than pre-development flow rates via the implementation of a combined bioretention / detention basin that provides 171m³ of stormwater detention for the proposed development.
- As the development triggers requirements for stormwater quality management in accordance with State Planning Policy 2017, it is proposed to provide stormwater quality treatment via the implementation of a combined bioretention / detention basin that provides a minimum of 51m² of filtration media each for the proposed development, in addition to a minimum of five (5) Atlan Stormsacks to be installed within each of the proposed stormwater field inlets as part of the internal drainage system.
- In accordance with RRC Interactive Mapping, the subject site has been identified to be within a flood hazard area, however the flood hazard overlay code is triggered for the subject site.

This report has demonstrated that the proposed development provides an acceptable solution for stormwater management and has been designed to comply accordance with Rockhampton Region Planning Scheme, Queensland Urban Drainage Manual (QUDM, 2016), Australian Rainfall and Runoff (ARR) and Healthy Waterways – Water by Design.

9 APPENDICES

Appendix A: SITE SURVEY PLAN

Appendix B: RRC AS-CONSTRUCTED AND PROPERTY FLOOD REPORT

Appendix C: DEVELOPMENT SITE PLANS

Appendix D: STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLANS

APPENDIX A SITE SURVEY PLAN



APPENDIX B RCC AS-CONSTRUCTED AND PROPERTY FLOOD REPORT



Copyright protects this publication. Reproduction by whatever means is prohibited without prior written permission of the Chief Executive Officer, Rockhampton Regional Council. Rockhampton Regional Council will not be held liable under any circumstances in connection with or arising out of the use of this data nor does it warrant that the data is error free. Any queries should be directed to the Customer Service Centre, Rockhampton Regional Council or telephone 1300 22 55 77. The Digital Cadastral DataBase is current as at October 2023. © The State Government of Queensland (Department of Natural Resources and Mines) 2023. All other data © Rockhampton Regional Council 2023. This map is a user generated static output from an Internet mapping site and is for reference only. Data layers that appear on this map may or may not be accurate, current or otherwise reliable. Rockhampton Region Planning Scheme - August 2015.



<u>Legend</u>

- Development Information 1m Intervals

 Major
 Basic

 Not Avaliable Stormwater Main Labels
- Junctions Labels Culverts
- Stormwater Junctions
- Inlets
 Access Chambers
- Stormwater Pipes
- Open Channel
- Mains
- Culvert Pipes
 Inter-allotmen
- Inter-allotmen
 Other
- -- Subsoil pipes
- Subsoil Clean Out pits
- RRC Mask RRC Mask
- Fitzroy River Flood
- H1 (Low)
- H2 (Medium)
- H3 (High)
 H4 (High)
- H4 (High) H5 (Extreme)
- H5 (Extreme) H6 (Extreme)
- Floodplain Investigation
- Area
- Fitzroy River Defined Flood
- Planning Area 1
- Planning Area 2
- North Rockhampton Flood Management Area
- Roads1
- Main roads
- Major council roadsStandard council roads
- ---- Access roads
- Private roads
- Easements
- Property Parcels
- Ocean
- Rivers
- DCDB Parks CQ LGA Boundaries





Rockhampton Office 232 Bolsover St, Rockhampton

Gracemere Office 1 Ranger St, Gracemere Mount Morgan Office 32 Hall St, Mount Morgan

14 November 2023

Your Ref: Telephone: Email: NA 07 4936 8099 developmentadvice@rrc.qld.gov.au

Capricorn Survey Group (Cq) Pty Ltd PO BOX 1391 ROCKHAMPTON QLD 4700

Dear Sir / Madam

FLOOD INFORMATION REQUEST FOR LOT 2 JOHNSON ROAD, GRACEMERE QLD 4702 DESCRIBED AS LOT 2 ON RP617380

Council is in receipt of your application dated 6 November 2023 requesting flood information for Lot 2 Johnson Road, Gracemere, and more properly described as Lot 2 on RP617380.

Please find attached a Flood Search Property Report for your reference. The purpose of this report is to provide flood level information to support the application of Council's planning scheme Flood Hazard overlay code, floodplain planning provisions, and applicable flood planning levels.

Council records show that the abovementioned property parcel is identified as being at risk of flood in a 1% AEP Local Storm / Overland Flow flooding event. Annual Exceedance Probability (AEP) is the probability of a flood event of a given magnitude being equalled or exceeded in any one year. A 1% AEP event means there is statistically a 1% (or 1 in 100) probability that an event of that magnitude will occur or be exceeded in any year.

The design flood level information contained within this report provide water surface levels for a range of typical planning and development design standards. The flood planning level for most development in the Flood Hazard overlay area is the Defined Flood Event (DFE). Council has adopted a DFE of 1% AEP as a planning standard for the management of development in Rockhampton Region. As such, for most development types - the floodplain planning provisions of Council's planning scheme apply relative to the 1% AEP defined flood event. Exceptions apply for critical infrastructure. The Defined flood event may change as Council undertakes further flood risk analysis and profiling as part of its long-term floodplain management planning for the catchment.

The flood levels contained within this flood search report have been sourced from Council's adopted flood modelling and flood study at this location, and are based on the best available information at the time of completing the study. The flood levels are measured in metres Australian Height Datum (mAHD), where mean sea level is approximately zero (0) mAHD.

Council is committed to providing residents with the most up to date flood risk information. The current flood study for this catchment area has assessed flood risk for a number of flood events including rare flood events greater than the 1%AEP flood, to provide a better understanding of the flood behaviour in the catchment. As such, the flood search report contains flood levels for flood events such as the 0.2%AEP (1 in 500 year AEP), 0.05% AEP (1 in 2000 year flood event), and the PMF (probable maximum flood). This information is being provided for completeness, and may not be applicable for development assessment purposes.

Please note: All reasonable steps have been undertaken to ensure the information presented in this report is accurate at the time of generation. Changes to the topography and condition of the local creeks and waterways may have an impact on flooding. Over time, Council may also undertake further technical studies to maintain the understanding of flooding across the city, and update the information available.

Should you have any queries regarding this information please contact Council's Development Engineering section using the contact information above.

Yours faithfully

Mohit Paudyal Senior Development Engineer Planning and Regulatory Services

Enc Flood Search Property Report and Flood Property Map

Rockhampton Regional Council Flood Search Property Report

Property Address:

Lot 2 Johnson Road, Gracemere

Lot Details:

- Lot 2 on RP617380
- Date of Issue: 14 November 2023



Flood Search Property Report Overview

It is possible for one or more sources of flooding to occur, especially where a property is near a creek or waterway. These flooding sources can include riverine, creek and overland flow flooding which can each behave differently and impact how a building or development is designed. All flood hazard triggers should be considered when designing and planning with flooding in mind.

The Rockhampton Regional Council Flood Search Report is provided to support planning and development, in accordance with the current version of the Rockhampton Region Planning Scheme 2015.

This report summaries flood information for this property to inform and supplement the application of the Council's planning scheme Flood Hazard overlay code, floodplain planning provisions, and the applicable flood planning levels. The contents of this report have been derived from Council's flood studies and flood modelling and should be considered along with all other applicable planning and development requirements. Flood studies and associated modelling assist Council to better understand flooding in the Rockhampton region and implement plans to avoid and mitigate its impacts on

the community.

Flood modelling of the Fitzroy River has been progressively refined over a long period of time. The flood modelling addresses riverine impacts on Rockhampton City and surrounding areas, including Alton Downs, Pink Lily, Nine Mile, Fairy Bower, Midgee and Port Curtis. Local Creek and Catchment Flood Studies provide Council with information on flood behaviour of the creeks, and how they are expected to respond during varying intensities and durations of rainfall events.

Understanding your flood risk can help you prepare for flooding at your home or business. The information provided in this report utilises information from the most up to date flood studies available to Council at the date of issue of this report. All reasonable steps have been undertaken to ensure the information presented in this report is accurate at the time of generation. Changes to the topography and condition of the local creeks and waterways may have an impact on flooding. Over time, Council may undertake further technical studies to maintain the understanding of flooding across the city, and update the information available.

Copies of Council's current Flood Studies are available on Council's website at <u>www.rrc.qld.gov.au</u>

What is flood modelling?

Flood modelling uses sophisticated computer software to estimate how rainfall of various intensities and duration produce stormwater flows along creek and river catchments.

Flood modelling is used to estimate:

- The inundation extents of the areas that may be flooded;
- The peak depths of flood waters; and
- The hazard related to the depth of water or how quickly the water flows (velocity).

Flood modelling estimates a range of design floods based on a statistical analysis of rainfall information provided by the Bureau of Meteorology. This information is used to establish the likelihood of a rainfall or flood event.

2

Rockhampton Regional Council Flood Search Property Report

Disclaimer

Council provides this information as a general reference source only and has taken all reasonable measures to ensure that the material in this report is as accurate as possible at the time of publication. Council makes no representation and gives no warranty about the accuracy, reliability, completeness or suitability for any particular purpose of the information. To the full extent that it is able to do so in law, the Council disclaims all liability including liability in negligence, for losses and damages including indirect and consequential loss and damage, caused by or arriving from anyone using or relying on the information for any purpose.

When reading this report, please consider:

- If a property is identified as being at risk of being affected by Fitzroy River and/ or Local Creek Catchment flooding, the highest maximum flood heights should be used to establish minimum building and development levels. For large property parcels - there may be a significant difference between the minimum and maximum flood heights for a particular flood type. In these situations, you may need to seek further advice from Council regarding the flood height that is appropriate for the exact location of the proposed building or development.
- The flood maps included with this report display the flood inundation extent only. All maps generated from the Flood Studies are available on Council's website.
- The flood maps provided depict the flood inundation extents under existing climate and catchment conditions.
- If preparing a new building and/or development application, it is recommended that you confirm all flood related provisions within Council's Planning Scheme relevant to the property.

Rockhampton Regional Council Flood Search Property Report

Disclaimer

Council provides this information as a general reference source only and has taken all reasonable measures to ensure that the material in this report is as accurate as possible at the time of publication. Council makes no representation and gives no warranty about the accuracy, reliability, completeness or suitability for any particular purpose of the information. To the full extent that it is able to do so in law, the Council disclaims all liability including liability in negligence, for losses and damages including indirect and consequential loss and damage, caused by or arriving from anyone using or relying on the information for any purpose.

Property Details

Address: Lot and plan: Lot 2 Johnson Road, Gracemere QLD 4702 Lot 2 on RP617380

Property Ground Levels:

Property ground levels can be found on the attached property flood report. The ground level data has been sourced from Aerial LiDAR survey, and as such, these levels are approximate.

Should the extent of flooding at a property need to be more accurately predicted, then individual property level information (e.g. surveyed site levels, and building floor levels) could be utilised in conjunction with Council's flood information. Council does not undertake this level of investigation or survey on behalf of property owners.

For your information:

AHD (Australian Height Datum) is the National Mapping Datum used throughout Australia. The level of o.om AHD is approximately mean sea level.

Elevation Data Source: The digital elevation model used in the flood modelling is generated on a regional scale and utilises ground level elevations from aerial laser surveys performed in2016. The survey data used to determine the extent and depth of potential inundation is captured and updated periodically and may not reflect inundation of land that has recently been modified, such as a new subdivision that has changed the existing landform.

Flood Information

Riverine Flood: Not Affected

Local Storm / Overland Flow Flood: Affected

The property is identified as being at risk of flooding from Local Storm / Overland Flow flooding. The attached map displays the 1% AEP flood extent on the property due to the Local Storm / Overland Flow Flooding. Planning and development must consider risk to people and property, natural floodplain characteristics, and flood free/low flood hazard access outcomes during local storm and overland flow flood events.

For your information:

AEP (Annual Exceedance Probability) is the probability of a flood event of a given size occurring or being exceeded in any one year. Information in relation to more or less likely floods and the full flood plain extent can be accessed on Council's website.

Rockhampton Regional Council Flood Search Property Report

Disclaimer

Council provides this information as a general reference source only and has taken all reasonable measures to ensure that the material in this report is as accurate as possible at the time of publication. Council makes no representation and gives no warranty about the accuracy, reliability, completeness or suitability for any particular purpose of the information. To the full extent that it is able to do so in law, the Council disclaims all liability including liability in negligence, for losses and damages including indirect and consequential loss and damage, caused by or arriving from anyone using or relying on the information for any purpose.



					VICEN	LUCAI	vatennent	
PMF WSL Min:	N/A	AEP 2% WSL Min:	N/A	PMF WSL Min	:	N/A	AEP 5% WSL Min:	26.35
PMF WSL Max:	N/A	AEP 2% WSL Max:	N/A	PMF WSL Max	k:	N/A	AEP 5% WSL Max:	26.98
PMF Velocity Min:	N/A	AEP 2% Velocity Min:	N/A	PMF Velocity	Min:	N/A	AEP 5% Velocity Min:	0.17
PMF Velocity Max:	N/A	AEP 2% Velocity Max:	N/A	PMF Velocity	Max:	N/A	AEP 5% Velocity Max:	1.67
AEP 0.05% WSL Min:	N/A	AEP 5% WSL Min:	N/A	AEP 0.05% W	SL Min:	N/A	AEP 10% WSL Min:	26.34
AEP 0.05% WSL Max:	N/A	AEP 5% WSL Max:	N/A	AEP 0.05% W	SL Max:	N/A	AEP 10% WSL Max:	26.97
AEP 0.05% Velocity Min:	N/A	AEP 5% Velocity Min:	0.17	AEP 0.05% Ve	elocity Min:	N/A	AEP 10% Velocity Min:	0.04
AEP 0.05% Velocity Max:	N/A	AEP 5% Velocity Max:	1.67	AEP 0.05% Ve	elocity Max:	N/A	AEP 10% Velocity Max:	N/A
AEP 0.2% WSL Min:	N/A	AEP 10% WSL Min:	N/A	AEP 0.2% WS	L Min:	N/A	AEP 18% WSL Min:	26.33
AEP 0.2% WSL Max:	N/A	AEP 10% WSL Max:	N/A	AEP 0.2% WS	L Max:	N/A	AEP 18% WSL Max:	26.97
AEP 0.2% Velocity Min:	N/A	AEP 10% Velocity Min:	N/A	AEP 0.2% Velo	ocity Min:	N/A	AEP 18% Velocity Min:	0.04
AEP 0.2% Velocity Max:	N/A	AEP 10% Velocity Max:	1.59	AEP 0.2% Velo	ocity Max:	N/A	AEP 18% Velocity Max:	1.47
AEP 0.5% WSL Min:	N/A	AEP 18% WSL Min:	N/A	AEP 0.5% WS	L Min:	N/A	AEP 39% WSL Min:	N/A
AEP 0.5% WSL Max:	N/A	AEP 18% WSL Max:	N/A	AEP 0.5% WS	L Max:	N/A	AEP 39% WSL Max:	N/A
AEP 0.5% Velocity Min:	N/A	AEP 18% Velocity Max	: N/A	AEP 0.5% Velo	ocity Min:	N/A	AEP 39% Velocity Min:	N/A
AEP 0.5% Velocity Max:	N/A	AEP 18% Velocity Max	: N/A	AEP 0.5% Velo	ocity Max:	N/A	AEP 39% Velocity Max:	N/A
AEP 1% WSL Min:	N/A	AEP 39% WSL Min:	N/A	AEP 1% WSL	Min:	26.40	AEP 63% WSL Min:	26.35
AEP 1% WSL Max:	N/A	AEP 39% WSL Max:	N/A	AEP 1% WSL	Max:	27.00	AEP 63% WSL Max:	26.98
AEP 1% Velocity Min:	N/A	AEP 39% Velocity Min:	N/A	AEP 1% Veloc	city Min:	0.17	AEP 63% Velocity Min:	0.17
AEP 1% Velocity Max:	N/A	AEP 39% Velocity Max	: N/A	AEP 1% Veloc	city Max:	1.99	AEP 63% Velocity Max:	1.67
Pr	operty	Elevation		AEP 2% WSL	Min:	26.35		
				AEP 2% WSL	Max:	26.99		
Ground Elevation (Min):	26.05			AEP 2% Veloc	city Min:	0.19		

Ground Elevation (Min): 20.05 Ground Elevation (Max): 29.24

Copyright protects this publication. Reproduction by whatever means is prohibited without prior written permission of the Chief Executive Officer, Rockhampton Regional Council. Rockhampton Regional Council will not be held liable under any circumstances in connection with or arising out of the use of this data nor does it warrant that the data is error free. Any queries should be directed to the Customer Service Centre, Rockhampton Regional Council will not council or elephone 4932 9000. The Digital Cadastral DataBase is current as at November 2023. © The State Government of Queensland (Department of Resources) 2023. All other data © Rockhampton Regional Council version of the course on this map may or may not be accurate, current, or otherwise reliable.

AEP 2% Velocity Max:

1.76

APPENDIX C DEVELOPMENT SITE PLANS





📕 📕 📕 Stage Boundary

<u>NOTES:</u> Building setbacks are to the outer extent of buildings being the face of building or edge of guttering as applicable

See Sheet 2 for typical unit layout and elevation plans

IMPORTANT NOTE

This plan was prepared to accompany an application to Rockhampton Regional Council and should not be used for any other purpose.

The dimensions and areas shown hereon are subject to field survey and also to the requirements of council and any other authority which may have requirements under any relevant legislation.

In particular, no reliance should be placed on the information on this plan for any financial dealings involving the land.

This note is an integral part of this plan.

client

Turtleway Early Learning Pty Ltd

project

Johnson Road, Gracemere

^{plan of} Material Change of Use **Proposed Multiple Dwellings**

20 Units + 11 Car Parking Spaces (With Nearmap Underlay)

rpd

Lot 2 on RP617380

Rockhampton Regional Council

issue	date	details	authorised		
Α	23-06-2023	Initial Issue	RJKF		
В	4-09-2023	Building setbacks added	RJKF		
create	d				
		capricornsurvev ar	oupca		
		SURVEYING & PLANNING SO	LUTIONS		
07 4927	5199 recept	ion@csgcq.com.au 132 Victoria Parade, Rockhan	pton QLD 4700		
scale			datum		
1:7	50 @ /	A3 AHD 0.25m Co	ntours		
sheet	no.		cad file		
1 0					
1 0	2	4002-01-1	WCU-D		
plan n	0.		issue		
46	62-(1-MCU	B		
-10					







GROUND FLOOR PLAN TYPICAL BUILDING

1:200



16 20 24 26 28 0 2 4 6 8 10 12 14 18 22 30m

Turtleway Early Learning
Pty Ltd

	ROOF
	Colorbond
	CLADDING
	JH linearboard
	ROOF
	Colorbond
	EAVES GUTTER
	Colorbond
	WINDOW FRAMES
	auminium
	AWNING
3	cement rendered poly
	paint finish
	DOOR FRAMES
	aluminium
	EXTERNAL WALLS
	eco-block
	paint finish

project

client

Johnson Road, Gracemere

plan of Material Change of Use **Proposed Multiple Dwellings** 20 Units + 11 Car Parking Spaces (With Nearmap Underlay)

Lot 2 on RP617380

Rockhampton	Regional	Council
Rocknampton	Regional	oounon

issue	date	details	authorised
Α	23-06-2023	Initial Issue	RJKF
В	4-09-2023	Building setbacks added	RJKF
create	d		
		capricornsurvev ar	oup <mark>ca</mark>
		SUBVEYING & PLANNING SO	
07 4927	5199 recept	ion@csgcq.com.au 132 Victoria Parade, Rockhan	pton QLD 4700
_			

scale	datum
1:200 @ A3	AHD 0.25m Contours
sheet no.	cad file
2 of 2	4662-01-MCU-B
plan no.	issue
4662-01-N	ACU B

	CLADDING
	CLADDING
	-JH-linearboard
	-ROOF
	Colorbond
	EAVER CUTTER
	Levels Souther
1923	Colorbond
833	COLUMN
2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.	ske
2533	315
1223	
83.9	DALLICTRADE
22323	DALUSTIANUL
532A	semi manees giass
dighapenenenenenenenenen	
1992	AWNING
	- cement rendered poly
1250 H	naint finish
1223 C	paire mart
	EVTERNAL WALLS
1624	EATENWAL WALLS
10,000	eco-block
	cement rendered
1631	paint finish
1633	
1.263	

APPENDIX D STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLANS



DESIGN IS SUBJECT TO OPERATIONAL WORKS SUBMISSION AND APPROVAL.

			SEQ CI\	/IL CON	SULTING:
ISTING	CONTOURS		ABN: 733432	216549	
ERVAL)	001170117		M: 0429 550	444	
ISTING ERVAL	CONTOURS		E: admin@se	qcivilconsultin	g.com.au
TORMW	ATER DRAINAGE,				
F & MAN	HOLE				
evver N E	IAIN				
ERB AN	D CHANNEL			SEQ C	
LECTRI	CITY OVERHEAD			CONSUL	HNG
ENCE					
	BITUMEN		CLIENT:		
OTTOM	OF BANK		TUR		
ASEMEN	١T				
CONTO	URS				
FENCE					
BUILDI	NG		SITE ADDR	ESS:	
RUOND	ar î EXTENT				
RETAIN	ING WALL				
STORM	WATER DRAINAGE & PI	r	GRAU	IVIERE,	
CONCR	ETE				
DRIVEV	VAY		RPD:		
LANDS	CAPING WITH DEEP PLA	NTING	Lot:	2	
			Plan:	RP617380	
	DISCHARGE		Level Datum:	AHD	. S. A HEORONAE OUUNUE
OPMEN	T FLOW DIRECTION		Meridian:	-	
LOPME	NT FLOW DIRECTION		Contour Inter	val: 0.25m	
			PSM:	PM13410	
			HL:	-	
			ASSOCIATI	ED CONSUL	_TANTS:
ULA	TION TABLE				
CAT	21		HA	RTECS (GROUP
	0.721				
	0				
	0.00	-			
	10.8	A	LL DRAWINGS TO E	3E READ IN CONJUN	ICTION WITH GENERAL NOTES
	0.70			TITLE:	
² /s)	0.136				
1 ² /s)	0.399		STORMWA	ATER MAN	AGEMENT PLAN
			PRE VS. P	OST DEVE	
			ASSESSM	ENT CATC	HMENT LAYOUT
ULA	HON TABLE			0, (10	
- CAT	C2	╷╶╷╎┝			
	0.563				
	3965				
	0.70	F			
	7.3		0 05/02/2024	PRELIMINAR	Y ISSUE XC AN
	0.77		ssue Date	Descri	ption DRN CHK
² /s)	(0,131.0.105)		SIGNED):	
2/0)	(0.101, 0.100)			\sim	
1⁻/S)	(0.384, 0.275)			1	
			11		
ULA	TION TABLE		NAME:	Charles	Cook
C3 (R	YPASS)		RPEQ N	o: 8480	
2, 12	0 158	╷╶╷╎┝			
	0.150		~~		
	0.00		SC,	ALE IN N	VIETRES
	5.0	-			
	0.70		Scale at A1	:	
² /s)	0.70		SCALE	0	10 20
^{, , ,} , 1 ² /s)	0.037				
. ,9)	0.107	·	Designed:		Ammar Naqvi
			Drafted:		Xavier Cook
			Date:		05/02/2024
			Drawing No):	Rev. No [.]
				··	-
			00)1	0





	SEQ CIVIL CONSOLTING.
	ABN: 73343216549 M: 0429 550 444
	E: admin@seqcivilconsulting.com.au
	SEQ CIVIL
	CONSULTING
	CLIENT:
	TURTLEWAY EARLY
	LEARNING PTY LTD
	SITE ADDRESS:
	LOT 2 JOHNSON ROAD,
	GRACEMERE, QLD. 4702
	RPD:
	Lot: 2 Plan: RP617380
	Local Authority: ROCKHAMPTON REGIONAL COUNCIL
	Level Datum: AHD Meridian: -
	Contour Interval: 0.25m
	PSM: PM13410 RL: -
	ASSOCIATED CONSULTANTS:
PROPOSED RETAINING WALL.	
IOW RL 27.15 BOW RL 26.88	HARTECS GROUP
	ALL DRAWINGS TO BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH GENERAL NOTES
	DRAWING TITLE:
	BIO-RETENTION BASIN LAYOUT
	SECTIONS, DETAILS & NOTES.
	0 05/02/2024 PRELIMINARY ISSUE XC AN
	NAME: Charles Cook
	RPEQ No: 8480
	SCALE IN METRES
	Scale at A1:
	SCALE 5 4 5 1:100 1 2
	Designed: Ammar Naqvi
	Drafted: Xavier Cook
	Date: 05/02/2024
	Drawing No: Rev. No:
	003 0





ROCKHAMPTON REGIONAL COUNCIL APPROVED PLANS These plans are approved subject to the current conditions of approval associated with

Dated: 9 August 2024

5.50 CARRIAGEWAY 2.50 MIN 1.00 CONCRETE FOOTPATH 4.00 VEHICLE LANE 1.50 CYCLE LANE 0 CMDG TYPE 1 BARRIER KERB & CHANNEL - EXISTING PAVEMENT AND SUBSOIL DRAIN

> JOHNSON ROAD TYPICAL SECTION SCALE A1 - 1 : 50 A3 - 1 : 100

PLAN SCALE A1 - 1:500 A3 - 1:1000





PROJECT

PROPOSED JOHNSON DEVELOPMENT

ADDRESS

JOHNSON ROAD, GRACEMERE

ROCKHAMPTON REGIONAL COUNCIL APPROVED PLANS

These plans are approved subject to the current conditions of approval associated with **Development Permit No.: D/118-2023 Dated: 9 August 2024**

REAL PROPERTY D	ESCRIPTION
Lot Number:	2
Reg/Survery Plan Number:	RP617380



OVERALL SITE PLAN

1:2000



ISSUED FOR PRELIMINARY

Project:

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

Address:

JOHNSON ROAD, GRACEMERE

Drawing Title:

OVERALL SITE PLAN



		040	0/2/1336	N	Л
i	info@dezignelements.com.au				
QBCC No	: 1247120	BDA	Q No: 0001	67	7
Scale:	As indic	ated	Rev:		м
Date:	MAR 20	24	1		5:38 P
Drawn:	NJB				24 12:4
Proje	ect No:	Draw	ing No:		03/202
24	081	S-	·01		26/





APPROVED PLANS

These plans are approved subject to the current conditions of approval associated with

Development Permit No.: D/118-2023 Dated: 9 August 2024

DO A	NOT SCALE D		N G
No:	Description:	Date:	
1	PRELIMINARY	25/03/2024	ž
			ō
			S
			Σ

ISSUED FOR PRELIMINARY

Project:

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

Address:

JOHNSON ROAD, GRACEMERE

Drawing Title:

3D VIEWS



040)7 271 336 I	М
info@dezignelement	s.com.au	E
QBCC No: 1247120 BDA	Q No: 00016	77
Scale:	Rev:	М
Date: MAR 2024	1	5:38 P
Drawn: NJB		24 12:4
Project No: Draw	ing No:	/03/202
24_081 S·	·02	26



APPROVED PLANS

These plans are approved subject to the current conditions of approval associated with

Development Permit No.: D/118-2023 Dated: 9 August 2024

DO NOT SCALE DRAWING ALL DIMENSION IN MILLIMETERS No: Description: Date: Solution Solution 1 PRELIMINARY 25/03/2024 Solution Solution</td

ISSUED FOR PRELIMINARY

Project:

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

Address:

JOHNSON ROAD, GRACEMERE

Drawing Title:

3D VIEWS



(0407271336 M	
info@dezignelements.com.au		
QBCC No: 1247120 BI	DAQ No: 0001677	
Scale:	Rev:	
Date: MAR 2024	► 5:39 P	
Drawn: NJB	■	
Project No: Dr	awing No:	
24_081	S-03	



APPROVED PLANS

These plans are approved subject to the current conditions of approval associated with

Development Permit No.: D/118-2023 Dated: 9 August 2024

DO A	NOT SCALE D	RAWII	N G
No:	Description:	Date:	
1	PRELIMINARY	25/03/2024	ž
			ō
			S
			5
			E C

ISSUED FOR PRELIMINARY

Project:

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

Address:

JOHNSON ROAD, GRACEMERE

Drawing Title:

3D VIEWS



		040	07 271 336	Μ
inf	info@dezignelements.com.au			E
QBCC No: 1	247120	BDA	Q No: 00016	577
Scale:			Rev:	М
Date:	MAR 202	4	1	5:40 P
Drawn:	NJB			24 12:4
Project	t No:	Draw	ing No:	/03/202
24_0)81	S-	04	26



_Proposed Stage Plan

1:1750

	STAGES LE	GEND		
	STAGE 1	STAGE 3		
	3 X UNIT TYPE 1 3 X UNIT TYPE 2 2 X UNIT TYPE 3	2 X UNIT TYPE 1 1 X UNIT TYPE 2 1 X UNIT TYPE 3		
	STAGE 2	STAGE 4		
	1 X UNIT TYPE 1	1 X UNIT TYPE 1		
	2 X UNIT TYPE 2	1 X UNIT TYPE 2 2 X LINIT TYPE 3		
	TOTAL: 20 UNITS			
	ROCKHAMPTON	REGIONAL COUN		
	APPRO	VED PLANS		
T	These plans are appro-	oved subject to the o	current	
Conditions of approval associated with Development Permit No : D/118-2023				
C	Dated: 9 August 202	4		

_Proposed Site Plan

1:750







ISSUED FOR PRELIMINARY

Project:

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

Address:

JOHNSON ROAD, GRACEMERE

Drawing Title:

PROPOSED SITE & STAGE PLAN



0407 271 336 **M** info@dezignelements.com.au BDAQ No: 0001677 QBCC No: 1247120 Scale: Rev: As indicated Date: MAR 2024 1 Drawn: NJB Project No: Drawing No: 24_081 S-05



APPROVED PLANS

These plans are approved subject to the current conditions of approval associated with **Development Permit No.: D/118-2023**Dated: 9 August 2024

_Proposed Site Landscaping Plan



DO A	NOT SCALE D	RAWII	N G
No:	Description:	Date:	
1	PRELIMINARY	25/03/2024	Š
			ō
			S
			5
			E

ISSUED FOR PRELIMINARY

Project:

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

Address:

JOHNSON ROAD, GRACEMERE

Drawing Title:

PROPOSED LANDSCAPING PLAN

















ISSUED FOR PRELIMINARY

Project:

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

Address:

JOHNSON ROAD, GRACEMERE, QLD, 4702

Drawing Title:

FIRST FLOOR PLAN



		040	7 271 336	М
i	info@dezigr	nelement	s.com.au	E
QBCC No:	: 1247120	BDA	Q No: 00016	77
Scale:	1 : 100		Rev:	М
Date:	MAR 20)24	1	9:26 P
Drawn:	NJB			24 12:3
Proje	ect No:	Draw	ing No:	03/202
24	081	S-	-08	26/
	-			





Elevation C

1:100



Elevation D

ROCKHAMPTON REGIONAL COUNCIL

APPROVED PLANS

These plans are approved subject to the current conditions of approval associated with

Development Permit No.: D/118-2023

Dated: 9 August 2024

GENERAL NOTE: -TYPICAL UNIT SET -3 DIFFERENT WALL DESIGN STYLES - REFER TO 3D VIEWS FOR FINISHES.

1:100

DO NOT SCALE DRAWING ALL DIMENSION IN MILLIMETERS							
No:	Description:	Date:					
1	PRELIMINARY	25/03/2024	Š				
			ō				
			S				
			5				
			M M				

ISSUED FOR PRELIMINARY

Project:

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

Address:

JOHNSON ROAD, **GRACEMERE, QLD, 4702**

Drawing Title:

ELEVATIONS



		040	0/2/1336		M
info@dezignelements.com.au				E	
QBCC No	1247120	BDA	Q No: 0001	67	77
Scale:	1 : 100		Rev:		M
Date:	MAR 202	24	1		9:29 P
Drawn:	NJB				24 12:3
Proje	ect No:	Draw	ing No:		/03/202
24	081	S-	·10		26

FF CL \bigtriangledown 5.240

F FL 📿 2.840

GF CL 🖓 2.400

G FL 🖓 0.000





ISSUED FOR PRELIMINARY

Project:

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

Address:

JOHNSON ROAD, **GRACEMERE, QLD, 4702**

Drawing Title:

ELEVATIONS - ROOF STYLE 2



		040	11 211 330	IVI
info@dezignelements.com.au				E
QBCC No	: 1247120	BDA	Q No: 0001	677
Scale:	1 : 100		Rev:	M
Date:	MAR 20	24	1	9:31 P
Drawn:	NJB			24 12:3
Proje	ect No:	Draw	ing No:	03/202
24	081	S	-11	26/



DO NOT SCALE DRAWING ALL DIMENSION IN MILLIMETERS					
No:	Description:	Date:			
1	PRELIMINARY	25/03/2024	Š		
			ō		
			S		
			5		
			Ĩ		
			-		

ISSUED FOR PRELIMINARY

Project:



Address:

JOHNSON ROAD, **GRACEMERE, QLD, 4702**

Drawing Title:

ELEVATIONS - ROOF STYLE 2



		040	1 211 330	IVI
info@dezignelements.com.au			Е	
QBCC No: 1247120 BDA			Q No: 0001	677
Scale:	1 : 100		Rev:	M
Date:	MAR 20	24	1	9:32 P
Drawn:	NJB			24 12:3
Project No: Drawing No:			03/202	
24	081	S-	-12	26/

- REFER TO 3D VIEWS FOR FINISHES.